

Flying Saucers

2096 Calle Felicia
Palm Springs
Nov 18 1957

Don Q Wilson Panhandle Editor Riverside Enterprise
Dear Don:

Having just returned from La Jolla where I (1) was a pest of honor of doctors, lawyers and other mistaken idealists who work at night (burlars, newspapermen, etc. etc.) 2 addressed a meeting on the subject of Do Democrats Know How To Vote? , a question that could be answered in one word, "No," and (3) to have some doctors check on how with one lung, one leg and scarcely more than one idea I can keep going at all. Well, as I say, having gone through all that I come home to find a letter from you which practically asks me to re-read Behind The Flying Saucers so I can be at least as smart as you are in answering questions , you dreamed up.

But to cut to the chase, of course you can quote from Behind The Flying Saucers ~~but be a~~ And be a pal and say it was the first book on the subject, written by an author who thinks when youve said it once that's enough. I know this is practically subversive in this or any other field. The formula seems to be to regurgitate the stuff and chew it over again and again for people still awed by the magic of print.

On the Jack Paar show "Tonight" about a month ago Jack asked me about the status of the saucerian saga and I told him that like girls flying saucers were here to stay and though I had files of unused data I wasn't writing another book until some character from out of space came to me and said, "Well want to thank you for being our first hard cover press agent. "

Because it seems that everybody including illiterate truck drivers

truck drivers have had personal contacts with visitors from Venus whereas I have got nothing but the brushoff for deciding in favor of believers in UFOs in the issue between the Pentagonians (a strange breed of tax eaters holed up in the Pentagon) and the Saucerians, visitors from Elsewhere.

One guy said he didn't understand half what I wrote in the book but he thought it was the most valiant defense of civil liberties he had ever read. One guy, a prof at Yale, questioned one of my statements about magnetics, threw four pages of math formulas at me and ending by writing, "By George, you're right."

As to your questions:

1 What do scientists think today about magnetic propulsion.? As it's only about two weeks since they have been allowed to think at all, or at least to tell each other what they thought, the only ones I have talked to have pointed out that the Russians are not using our old horse and buggy power thrust to get their sputniks off the ground and they might well be using magnetic propulsion.

2. As far as I know the believers in this force have not been called in to solve our problem, the krauts having so far won this war as they have World War II.

3. Aside from independent research no government research has been along this line. I mean in the direction of power. Of course a lot has been done and is being used in radar and detection and also guiding missiles to a target.

5. If they have they have not been telling those of us who pay the bill. Generally speaking one of our freedoms is to learn what we are paying for after another country has put a similar idea in operation and announced it. I think the general idea is that while it does hurt Ike with his bum heart to know these things it would

send the rest of us screaming to the hills in panic and millions of us would die of shock. Personally I can't imagine any news which a politician could take that I couldn't take better. But of course that's Un American, isn't it?

6. I have heard personal testimony from many who have seen saucers land and of course guys like Adamsky, Frey, Angelucci, Bethurum and Van Tassel have written or caused to be written, books relating their personal joy rides on saucers from outer space. I got off the subject when the objects reached ground with dead crews. There's a character named Menzel, a Harvard and horse's astrophysicist, who believes everything but everything anybody has seen in our atmosphere is a reflection. This Johnny One Note is quoted every time it rains. His press agent must have the easiest racket of them all. No reflection on him but plenty on his herrn professor. Even the Air Force repudiated him.

The last time I sat in with about fifty resevre fliers who were being briefed on how to identify these objects which didn't exist, the briefing officers admitted the Pentagonians were stuck with about four hundred that could not be explained away. When I quit chasing them the number was around 36. So I said, "That's seems to be a helova jump percentage wise for something that does not exist at all. we all laughed.

Up to a few years ago I had never seen an UFO but one summer night in 1954 two of our adult daughters sleeping on a porch of a ranch we have at Desert Springs were awakened by a passenger plane flying east. They then saw a light heading west from George Air Base. They called to us and five ~~xx~~ of us watched the object move till it reached over Palmdale. Then it made a 180 degree

4 Scully

turn, passed by us, veered left , went over George Air Base again and then shot into the blue and out of sight. My horse's astrophysicist would write it off as a controlled reflection but whowas controlling it and what was it reflecting?

I also saw some color films taken by top cameramen above Mullholland Drive in Hollywood that defied all techniques for proving they were fakes. We ran the film back and forward as many as fifteen times for ace cameramen and special effects experts and all agree it would have cost \$250,000 to attempt and even then could not be faked in color. That went into the Air Force for further study and hasn't ~~xxxxxx~~ been heard of since.

Ruppelt, a great scoffer when he was with the Air Force, became a believer when there was money in it and even got a picture out of what he remembered from the Pentagonian files. It was not a bad picture but the examples of authentic film of UFOs taken from official files and including ed in the movie were laughable compared to film I saw before it was presumably turned over to them.

I could write all day on this item but I have columns to turn out and a play to polish for Broadway for next spring. And if you want to know all about it, it's a play about a guy who conquered the world trying to get away from two days and the more of the world he conquered the more depalidated his tent became and it's called "What Made Alexander Great." I'll bite, what?

Pax et Bonum.

Ever

SIR FRANCIS SCULLY
Secretary to
Lady Alice Scully

NATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS COMMITTEE

ON AERIAL PHENOMENA

TELEPHONE: NORTH 7-9434

CABLE ADDRESS:
SKYLIGHT

WASHINGTON 6, D. C.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES:
1536 CONNECTICUT AVE., N. W.

MAJOR DONALD E. KEYHOE
USMC (RET.) DIRECTOR

July 8, 1958

Mr. Frank Scully
% Henry Holt & Co., Inc.
257 Fourth Avenue
New York 10, New York

Dear Mr. Scully:

I have recently reread your 1950 book "Behind the Flying Saucers" and I would like to know whether or not you are still endorsing the many interesting details given in your book. Have you had a new book published and/or do you contemplate putting out a revised edition of your book?

Please get in touch with us as soon as possible.

Very truly yours,

Rose Hackett Campbell

Mrs. Rose Hackett Campbell

RHC:Encl.

A privately-supported fact-finding body serving the national public interest

NATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS COMMITTEE ON AERIAL PHENOMENA

1536 Connecticut Avenue N. W.

Washington 6, D. C.

North 7-9434

REPORT ON UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT(S)

This form includes questions asked by the United States Air Force and by other Armed Forces' investigating agencies, and additional questions to which answers are needed for full evaluation by NICAP.

After all the information has been fully studied, the conclusion of our Evaluation Panel will be published by NICAP in its regularly issued magazine or in another publication. Please try to answer as many questions as possible. Should you need additional room, please use another sheet of paper. Please print or typewrite. Your assistance is of great value and is genuinely appreciated. Thank you.

1. Name Place of Employment
 Address Occupation
 Telephone Education
Special Training
Military Service
2. Date of Observation Time AM PM Time Zone
3. Locality of Observation
4. How long did you see the object? Hours Minutes Seconds
5. Please describe weather conditions and the type of sky; i.e., bright daylight, nighttime, dusk, etc.
6. Position of the Sun or Moon in relation to the object and to you.
7. If seen at night, twilight, or dawn, were the stars or moon visible?
8. Were there more than one object? If so, please tell how many, and draw a sketch of what you saw, indicating direction of movement, if any.
9. Please describe the object(s) in detail. For instance, did it (they) appear solid, or only as a source of light; was it revolving, etc? Please use additional sheets of paper, if necessary.
10. Was the object(s) brighter than the background of the sky?
11. If so, compare the brightness with the Sun, Moon, headlights, etc.
12. Did the object(s) — (Please elaborate, if you can give details.)
 - a. Appear to stand still at any time?
 - b. Suddenly speed up and rush away at any time?
 - c. Break up into parts or explode?
 - d. Give off smoke?
 - e. Leave any visible trail?
 - f. Drop anything?
 - g. Change brightness?
 - h. Change shape?
 - i. Change color?
13. Did the object(s) at any time pass in front of, or behind of, anything? If so, please elaborate giving distance, size, etc, if possible.
14. Was there any wind? If so, please give direction and speed.
15. Did you observe the object(s) through an optical instrument or other aid, windshield, windowpane, storm window, screening, etc? What?
16. Did the object(s) have any sound? What kind? How loud?
17. Please tell if the object(s) was (were) —
 - a. Fuzzy or blurred.
 - b. Like a bright star.
 - c. Sharply outlined.

18. Was the object —

- a. Self-luminous?
- b. Dull finish?
- c. Reflecting?
- d. Transparent?

19. Did the object(s) rise or fall while in motion?

20. Tell the apparent size of the object(s) when compared with the following held at arm's length:

- | | | |
|------------|------------------|---------------|
| a. Pinhead | d. Nickel | g. Orange |
| b. Pea | e. Half dollar | h. Grapefruit |
| c. Dime | f. Silver dollar | i. Larger |

Or, if easier, give apparent size in inches on a ruler held at arm's length.

21. How did you happen to notice the object(s)?

22. Where were you and what were you doing at the time?

23. How did the object(s) disappear from view?

24. Compare the speed of the object(s) with a piston or jet aircraft at the same apparent altitude.

25. Were there any conventional aircraft in the location at the time or immediately afterwards? If so, please elaborate.

26. Please estimate the distance of the object(s).

27. What was the elevation of the object(s) in the sky? Please mark on this hemisphere sketch:



28. Names and addresses of other witnesses, if any.

29. Please draw a map of the locality of the observation showing North; your position; the direction from which the object(s) appeared and disappeared from view; the direction of its course over the area; roads, towns, villages, railroads, and other landmarks within a mile.

30. Is there an airport, military, governmental, or research installation in the area?

31. Have you seen other objects of an unidentified nature? If so, please describe these observations, using a separate sheet of paper.

32. Please enclose photographs, motion pictures, news clippings, notes of radio or television programs (include time, station and date, if possible) regarding this or similar observations, or any other background material. We will return the material to you.

33. Were you interrogated by Air Force investigators? By any other federal, state, county, or local officials? If so, please state the name and rank or title of the agent, his office, and details as to where and when the questioning took place.

Were you asked or told not to reveal or discuss the incident? If so, were any reasons or official orders mentioned? Please elaborate carefully.

34. We should like permission to quote your name in connection with this report. This action will encourage other responsible citizens to report similar observations to NICAP. However, if you prefer, we will keep your name confidential. Please note your choice by checking the proper statement below. In any case, please fill in all parts of the form, for our own confidential files. Thank you for your cooperation.

You may use my name. ()

Please keep my name confidential. ()

35. Date of filling out this report:

Signature:

July 29 1958

Dear Mrs Campbell:

Thank you for your inquiry of July 8. It was sent from Holt's to Palm Springs, to Desert Springs and ultimately met up with us in Hollywood.

Yes, in general I am still endorsing what was written in Behind The Flying Saucers. At the present time there is a suit filed against True, Fawcett, Cahn etc etc for \$12,000,000 by Silas M Newton and me. The defendants managed to wiggle out of Arizona and the case will now be tried in Connecticut where they do business or in Delaware where they are incorporated.

I havent got beyond the note taking and research of another book on UFOs and before I get my present commitments out of the way the whole thing may be solved, thus requiring certainly no other book from me.

A recent Variety column reported a visit to Giant Rock and the most spontaneous and unrehearsed seminar dealing with the saucerian saga. Every year a new dilly breaks out there. Among these men and their personal histories I feel like a patholgist, for I dealt only with dead crews and grounded saucers.

I note from the Investigator that the Major is still treating the Pentagonians as if they were our allies instead of a race apart, now blowing hot, now cold on how square to play with us who pay their bills.

All success to you in your researches.

Faithfully,

FRANK SCULLY

NATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS COMMITTEE

ON AERIAL PHENOMENA

TELEPHONE: NORTH 7-9434

CABLE ADDRESS:
SKYLIGHT

WASHINGTON 6, D. C.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES:
1536 CONNECTICUT AVE., N. W.

MAJOR DONALD E. KEYHOE
USMC (RET.) DIRECTOR

Dear friend:

In the next NICAP Bulletin or the next issue of our magazine, the UFO INVESTIGATOR, we will reveal important new information about Unidentified Flying Objects — flying saucers.

Because of NICAP's reputation as a careful fact finding agency, the investigation of UFOs has now reached a serious level. Our Board of Governors and our Special Advisers include among other distinguished citizens the following men: Vice Adm. R. H. Hillenkoetter, USN, Ret.; J. B. Hartranft, Pres. Aircraft Owners & Pilots Assn; Col. R. B. Emerson, USAR; R. Adm. H. B. Knowles, USN, Ret.; Frank Edwards, noted newscaster; Maj. Dewey Fournet, USAFR, and Mr. Albert M. Chop, both formerly on the Air Force UFO project; Dr. Earl L. Douglass, noted religious writer; Capt. R. B. McLaughlin, USN; Rev. Albert Baller and Rev. Leon C. LeVan; Dr. Marcus Bach, author and educator; airline Captains W. B. Nash and C. S. Chiles; and other authorities in aviation, electronics, astronomy and rocket design. With their aid and the help of other experts, NICAP is fully qualified to analyze all phases of the UFO problem.

In joining NICAP you will become part of our worldwide reporting network. Our membership covers the USA and 19 foreign countries; it is a cross section of almost all trades and professions.

NICAP members will receive a special or confidential bulletin each month or a copy of the UFO INVESTIGATOR, or both, when important developments warrant this. You will read the latest "inside stories" on UFOs and see important news being made. In addition, as a NICAP member you will help end the secrecy and answer these vital questions: What are the UFOs? Where are they from? Who controls them and why are they here?

In our publications we attempt to answer all members' questions on various phases of the UFO investigation. NICAP membership at \$7.50 includes the UFO INVESTIGATOR and all bulletins. To become a member, please send your check for \$7.50 to NICAP and address your letter to

NICAP
1536 Connecticut Avenue
Washington 6, D. C.

We hope you will join us in finding the answers to this greatest mystery of our times.

Sincerely,



Donald E. Keyhoe, Director of NICAP

DEK:RHC

A privately-supported fact-finding body serving the national public interest

NATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS COMMITTEE on AERIAL PHENOMENA

A non-profit organization

1536 Connecticut Avenue, Washington 6, D. C.

Telephone NOrth 7-9434

Cable Address SKYLIGHT

Published Statements on Unidentified Flying Objects

Dr. Clyde W. Tombaugh, noted astronomer, discoverer of the planet Pluto and former chief of the Armed Forces search for unknown natural satellites, who has personally sighted UFO's: "These things, which do appear to be directed, are unlike any other phenomena I ever observed...No one so far has sure-fire, absolute proof...Other stars in our galaxy may have hundreds of thousands of inhabitable worlds. Races on these worlds may have been able to utilize the tremendous amounts of power required to bridge the space between the stars."

Dr. J. J. Kalizkewski, cosmic ray scientist on Navy project, who with other scientists sighted two cigar shaped UFO's near Minneapolis: "They were strange, terrifically fast. I think the Government should set up a 24-hour alert with radar, telescopes, sky cameras and other instruments."

Capt. Richard Case, American Airlines, who with other pilots and thousands of people in Indianapolis sighted a large UFO speeding over that city: "It was a controlled craft of some kind, going three times faster than we were." (Convair airliner was flying at about 300 mph)

Capt. Richard Adickes, TWA pilot who with crew and seven passengers saw a glowing UFO pacing their airliner near South Bend: "Before then, I wasn't convinced by the saucer reports. Now I know they do exist."

William Lear, winner of the Collier Aviation Trophy and president of Lear, Inc. aircraft and electronics equipment, who sighted a UFO in bright daylight: "I believe that the flying saucers come from outer space and are piloted by beings of superior intelligence."

Capt. Raymond Ryan, American Airlines who with 44 passengers was asked by Griffis Air Force Base to chase a UFO between Albany and Syracuse and to report by radio: "This was absolutely real. I'm convinced there was something fantastic up there."

Capt. James Howard, British transatlantic pilot with 265 crossings who with crew and 12 passengers saw a huge UFO and six small ones pacing their plane: "It must have been some weird form of spaceship from another world."

Capt. W. B. Nash, Pan American Airways, who with his co-pilot saw a formation of six huge discs maneuver below their airliner near Norfolk, Virginia: "I believe the discs were intelligently controlled machines from outer space."

Hermann Oberth, co-designer of the V-2 rocket, noted authority on space travel plans: "It is my thesis that flying saucers come from other worlds outside our solar system."

(Continued. Please turn to other side.)

Col. Frank Milani, Director of Civil Defense in Baltimore, who has personally sighted UFO's: "It is a calculated risk to assume that the so-called saucers do not constitute a threat to the welfare and security of our citizens. We are given to believe they are not hostile, but information on UFO's is classified."

Flight Lieut. J. R. Salandin, Royal Air Force in England, whose RAF Meteor jet almost collided head-on with a disc-shaped UFO in broad daylight: "The main body was like two saucers pressed together. It appeared metallic. The object was traveling at tremendous speed."

Frank Halstead, curator of Darling Observatory in Duluth, Minnesota: "Many professional astronomers are convinced that the saucers are interplanetary machines. I believe they come from another solar system, but they may be using Mars as a base."

Albert M. Chop, former Air Force press official handling UFO information at the Pentagon: "One thing is absolutely certain. We're being watched by beings from outer space."

Published Statements on the Question of Other Worlds

Dr. Harlow Shapley, former Director of Harvard Observatory: "We must now accept it as inevitable that there are other worlds with some kind of thinking beings."

Dr. Harold C. Urey, member of the International Mars Committee, former commissioner of the Atomic Energy Commission and head of Chicago University Institute for Nuclear Studies: "It is exceedingly probable that there is other life in the universe more intelligent than ours."

Rear Admiral Delmer S. Fahrney, USN, Retired, famed as "the father of guided missiles" and formerly chairman of the NICAP Board of Governors: "Reliable reports indicate that there are objects coming into our atmosphere at very high speeds...The way they change position in formation would indicate that their motion is directed. As long as such unidentified objects continue to navigate through the earth's atmosphere, there is an urgent need to know the facts."

Statement by Maj. Donald E. Keyhoe, USMC Retired, Director of NICAP: "Our policy as set by the Board is to collect and evaluate reliable evidence by a NICAP panel of scientists, engineers, pilots, astronomers and other experts. All authentic information on UFO's will be released uncensored to NICAP members and to Congress, with special releases issued to the press. To carry out its program, NICAP is developing investigative units and UFO research clubs which interested persons may join. Membership in NICAP—at \$7.50—includes special bulletins and a monthly 32-page magazine containing recent UFO sightings, reports on secret developments, special articles by pilots and reliable UFO researchers, a new serialized history of flying saucers—with new light on famous cases—answers to members' questions, and many other features.

Regardless of membership, we shall greatly appreciate receiving news clippings or firsthand UFO sighting reports." Send memberships, clippings, reports and inquiries to

NICAP
1536 Conn. Ave.
Washington 6, D.C.

10 Winter St.,
Waterville, Maine,
May 18, 1960

Mr. Frank Scully,
2100 Calle Felicia,
Palm Springs, Cal.

Dear Mr. Scully:

Once a year? Seems like that is the way the ball bounces. I owe you a reply since June of last year and am thoroughly ashamed of myself. You were most kind to answer my letter ahead of other important mail you had simply because my letter chanced to be on top of the pile.

I read somewhere that you had an operation in Texas I believe it was and I hope only the best for you. My troubles are the usual ones trying to raise a boy of 17 and one of four, not exactly tops in health and trying financially to keep our heads above water and so it goes. Don't let it ever be said that life is a bowl of cherries, those who are financially well off have problems too, those not so well off have them twofold. Mother Nature and/or God never meant this life to be a garden of Eden and I suppose if we all could live without tribulations, worries and illnesses there would be no progress and we, collectively would soon ripen on the vine and go to seed, (or to pot).

I made the brilliant mistake of not putting on paper the thoughts I had relative to Flying Saucers which I intended sending you. Some day I may learn that thoughts, particularly inspirational ones are

ephemeral and disappear unless chronicled. As near as I can recall my observation had to do with the fact that the very denial by the Pentagonians of the existence of F/S was an admission of proof, a sort of juxtaposition would one say? The other thought I had which I intended sending you was that the nearest approach ^{to} ~~of~~ perpetual motion was magnetic propulsion as used by the Saucerians. My theory of the whole concept of magnetic propulsion is that if we, mankind, can make electricity from magnetism and magnetism from electricity, we have the answer to magnetic propulsion staring us in the face, but we are too blind to see it. Can't you get your friend whom you call Dr. G in Behind the Flying Saucers to explore this thought??

TOGETHER
THESE GO
ARE INTER-
RELATED

I still have the pocket edition of your book which I guard jealously, but alas, the past year has given me no time to read it and it is beginning to fall apart in the binding.

I wrote Dr. von Braun telling him that rocketry was alright for missiles but that I could not visualize a space ship with 50 persons aboard awaiting a launching at the mercy of brute force propulsion and until they can devise a vehicle that can take off in full possession of its own power and without speed as a requisite, the whole program must remain an expensive toy.

My best regards to you and if health bothers,

Adelle Davis, Los Angeles, (Let's Eat Right to Keep Fit) is worthwhile. Sincerely yours, Wolfgang E. Weigelt

SAN FRANCISCO INTERPLANETARY CLUB

P. O. BOX 5064
SAN FRANCISCO 1, CALIF.
TELEPHONE: OVERLAND 1-5077

September 13, 1961

Mr. Frank Scully
2100 Calle Felicia
Palm Springs, California

Dear Mr. Scully:

Permit me to introduce myself. I am the Corresponding Secretary for the above club. Have just had two public lectures by Adamski and he speaks so highly of you. Naturally you are no newcomer to me as I've been in the work since 1946 and your book Behind the Flying Saucers was one of the first few I read--and enjoyed immensely.

Mr. Adamski was gracious enough (when given credit for being practically the 'father of the Saucers') to concede the same spot to you. He said you were also one of the first, and you were the only one he DID put in that category. He knows my problem: it is extremely difficult now, to find sound lecturers. Naturally. We are now scraping the barrel whereas at the start, Saucers were still a novelty.

As you well know, all the four flushers are now being exposed. Much to my chagrin, but also comfort, as the imposters have made the work a hundred fold more difficult.

Between you and me and the gatepost, I rather stand alone, with but perhaps two or three in my club, who fight for SOUND lectures. I am not speaking against my members. They are fine people--only they don't know UFO work. Many of them want the love and light sort of thing. Sweetness and religion. And our President, fine a man as he is--and his wife, are more or less this type. I am worn out through the years, trying to hold my own, and what energy and time I have, should never be wasted on this issue. So naturally whenever opportunity presents itself, I make hay as it were. Endeavoring to have democratic operation, of course I do not have sole say. Occasionally, through accident, I might be out-voted, but thanks to the Great Mind, I am usually successful in inspiring a certain vote.

But to the point: I wonder if you would care to come up and speak for us in November? I know it's a long trip and if you cared to speak for other groups at the same time--to make the trip worthwhile, we could send you a list of those interested and you could make arrangements yourself. Naturally, the pivot is us--and we hold our lectures on the 2nd Friday of each month. This would make it the 10th of November. On occasion, we switch our date to help someone out, but we don't like to do this unless we absolutely have to.

Some speakers don't want to bother, or else they don't have the time and strength to cover a circuit. Others demand a circuit. I do feel sorry for the smaller towns as you and I both know that every city and hamlet is important in the objective. Without occasional speakers, the life line dies. My motto is to keep pounding, with lecturers that carry weight.

However, the smaller towns CAN occasionally have speakers that would be disastrous for us. On the other hand, when we can give them an occasional boost with a speaker of note, it naturally carries them for some time.

In the old days, I tried my hand at all coordinating and I got ill doing it as I have a regular outside office job and am terribly pressed for time, despite the fact that I have done nothing for years, but Saucer work. Naturally also, when I carried a lecturer through from start to finish, it was duck soup so to speak, for the lecturer. And I was glad to do this, but we soon discovered that this would take an otherwise unemployed person. And the headaches were terrible. Back and forth, back and forth. Misunderstandings, as it was a three way deal. So much to cover--dates, place, etc. Then I toyed with the other extreme: the idea of simply giving a lecturer the names and addresses of all the clubs for him to contact by himself---but this works a great hardship on a speaker. He would be spending much time on contacting clubs who might not be interested or who couldn't manage the date element. So we are now hitting on the idea of at least SCREENING for a speaker--ascertaining what clubs would be interested, then when a speaker contacts them himself, he is not wasting his time. We are discussing this at our business meeting on the 22nd of Sept. and if we can find someone to do this, it will undoubtedly be most beneficial to both speaker and clubs.

Our terms are 75% of the gate receipts to the speaker, AFTER expenses are deducted and naturally we try to keep expenses at a minimum, commensurate with a successful hall. Naturally with less known speakers, and when the travel distance is negligible, we can never make any promises but we do have a rule that NO speaker--even one in our own city, shall receive less than \$50. We have paid as high as \$225. for a single lecture. It all depends on the caliber of the speaker. And in order to cut expenses for a lecturer, our President is very gracious about putting up a speaker in his home. Some speakers prefer to be completely independent. That's up to them. Our President has always said that he does not do this merely by way of cutting expenses. He says it gives him the chance to KNOW the lecturer. Personally, I don't think there can be any hard and fast rule for this. There are speakers who might be quite lonely in a foreign place. On the other hand, were I speaking anywhere, I would want to be by myself as I think too much pre-talk dissipates the force necessary for a successful lecture. Adamski is one of the few I know, who adheres to this rule. He will not put up in anyone's home. There are speakers who can stay with our President and still give a good talk. It all depends on the type of speaker. This is up to you, and is understood by all. We just like our lecturers to know that they are really welcome to be house guests at Mr. Dachner's home (our President).

Many problems enter into this issue. Some speakers are vegetarian. Not a single libation..?! Not an ounce of tobacco. That's our President's style and I am not criticizing. Other speakers eat meat, smoke and take highballs. Naturally for a speaker like this to put up in the 'high flying' type of home, presents a problem. I'm afraid poor dear Georgie's style (Adamski), knocks 'em cold as he is so honest.

Frankly, I personally, was extremely pleased at his campaign...! I repeat-- I am not here to judge. I only say that while the high fliers think I'm going to the dogs because I do everything in moderation, I note that I, have the most experiences in the space field. Frankly, I don't give a tinker's damn what ANY earth person thinks. I answer only to my higher self. On a one night stay in town, most any speaker can afford to put up at a hotel, but should anyone be in town for 2 or 3 nights, I'm happy to put him up myself, though I live alone. I have the room and the freedom some

contactees desire and if a woman 59 in a four room place has to worry about public opinion--she's not the dame for the work. Enough said on this issue. However, some speakers are closely watched, and I suppose in such cases it's foolish to start gossip. Consequently, our President's home poses no such problem.

And before I forget: I never put DONATION on my announcements. I had this out a long time ago. Many of the groups use this term, and that's something you'd have to settle with them. Personally, I feel that the term 'donation' suggests that the public MIGHT not be getting due value--hence it's only honest for a blub to charge accordingly. But! Sad but true--earth has not evolved to the place where you can depend on a high moral code. There are always those who will drop a quarter or 50¢ in the kitty. 'Donation' to me, suggests a seance. Church. I have always made it a point to be as selective as I can and when we have given our best, I see no moral offense in stating right out that the admission is \$1.00. I am sorry for those on limited means but on the other hand, many people do not value what comes free. I am very proud to state that our S.F. club has about the finest reputation over the States when it comes to financial reimbursement. The laborer is certainly worth of his hire.

As I say, Mr. Adamski spoke very highly of you and with a twinkle in his eye, he said you also had a sense of humor. Thank God!!

Incidentally, I was most happy to find (according to Mr. A.) that your story about the little bodies found, was true. I recall how they tried to discredit this. Natch.

So, Mr. Scully--there's the Philadelphia story. Our President would shoot me at sunrise if he saw the length of this letter. He says I write much too much, and use the personal pronoun to excess. I only know that I write from the heart and the proof of the pudding, is in the eating. I've done all right through the years....

Also, I do not feel that you are a complete stranger. Mr. A. has brought you closer, and I'd appreciate as early a response from you as possible as I'd like to get into operation if you care to come up.

A word about publicity: the negative elements are certainly after my skin--to say nothing of sabotaging the movement in general. I don't say I'm the world's best publicity agent, but I've done all right. I built up relations until the press and air lanes were knocking on my door at all hours. I have never let my outlets down and they know this. I don't give them slop. When I have nothing of any account--I skip them entirely.

But this last trip of Adamski's takes the cake. It was too irregular to be natural. Every single outlet let me down. Played me along for a few days. Then cancelled, or turned a cold shoulder. Naturally I was puzzled as I work so hard and have so many friends in the field. I am now told that someone deliberately sabotaged, and with the help of our space friends, I may get the score. Mr. A. had one of the longest interviews I've ever witnessed with the S.F. Examiner---and not a word was published! TV out. Radio out--except for one station which evidently stood by me. I mention publicity, because when a man is known, I usually like him here a day or two before lecture time which gives me air and press time. Naturally you're a big name also. I wonder if I'd have the same trouble again? I don't pretend that I'm omniscient enough to uncover this situation by myself, but with the unseen help I usually get--I expect to know more.....

EMMA P. MARTINELLI
1709 - A GOUGH STREET
SAN FRANCISCO 9
CALIFORNIA

Naturally I'm human enough to have taken this to heart personally. Why anyone would want to do this to me, I can't imagine. Why they would want to do it to ADAMSKI, I can't imagine. But what I feel worse about, is to think of all the people who were deprived of Mr. A.'s last appearance in these parts. The people who were deprived of TRUTH. The people who are hungry for Saucer information....

All I've got to say is that this is not my problem. It has now fallen back onto the shoulders of the wrongdoer.....I release the party with my own type of prayer. God knows--they'll NEED it.

And when you write, please address me at the above--my home.

Until then, kindest regards from us all,

(Miss) Emma Martinelli

P.S. Ha ha. Mr. A. did me incalculable good this trip. I've been trying to make order out of chaos for years, but you know the old saying-- "a prophet in his own country goes unheeded..." or words to that effect. Well, Georgie boy really laid it on. If there's a phoney psychic who can still lift his head, I've seen everything.

Now if I can just get a few good men on the platform to follow up, I think I see the dawn.....

I am not begging. I do need your help, Mr. Scully, but you know your own business.

Have been toying with the idea myself, of going down your way for a week or so the end of October. I'd naturally have to manage my space work so that everything would be in order for you, but I think I could swing it. I'd allow a week back here in S.F. to take care of any publicity and I'd have my announcements done before I took off. I'm really bushed! And I'm mad about desert country as I like to sketch. Naturally I'm not in the Palm Springs bracket! But I'm looking into little places around there. 29 Palms or Mojave, etc. Something not too expensive. I want SAND, and lots of these places only have brush and rock.

Ha ha again. Last year! I had a run in with the 7th Day Adventists at Monument Valley. My god! They wanted to save my soul. Oh, they believed in Saucers all right--but the pilots were old Moloch himself.

64 Prospect St.
White Plains, N.Y.
October 8, 1961

An Open Letter to Saucer Researchers

from Dr. Leon Davidson

(not for publication)

[I would be glad to send copies of this letter to other interested persons.]

[1.] I would like to deviate from my usual writing style and cast this article in the form of an open letter, without rigorous documentation. I have been unable to keep up properly with the correspondence that followed my article "Why I Believe Adamski", hence this open letter of reply, addressed to all saucer researchers with whom I correspond. [Let me define a "saucer researcher" as anyone who has written serious articles on saucers or published a saucer magazine or book or newsletter.]

[2.] Because of the somewhat unpopular position which I have always taken on this subject, I would like to state my qualifications, to justify the somewhat pompous position which I may seem to affect. I challenge any other saucer researcher to present a better list of qualifications to pontificate in this field.

- (1) I have read the full text of the Secret version of the Project Grudge Report of August 1949.
- (2) I have worked for classified government projects, mostly in the atomic energy field, including a stint working for Uncle Sam in AEC headquarters in Washington, at a highly responsible level.
- (3) I have held high U.S. security clearances for over 15 years.
- (4) I have lived in several areas of the country where and when flying saucer activity was going on, including New Mexico during the "green fireball" period and Washington, D.C., during the 1952 "National Airport radar sighting" period.
- (5) I have extensive files of flying saucer magazines, books, newspaper clippings, NACA and other technical reports, and correspondence with military agencies, collected personally starting when I first became involved in this field in 1949.
- (6) My professional background can be found in "American Men of Science", 9th Edition, Vol. I.
- (7) I am a trained engineer, with a doctorate in Chemical Engineering, and am a member of the New York Academy of Sciences.
- (8) At Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, I worked with the semi-official project looking for the "green fireballs" in cooperation with the Laboratory security organization.
- (9) I was given a special private showing of the "Tremonton Films" by Major Dewey J.J. Fournet, in a Pentagon briefing room in November 1952, while the films were being kept secret from the public (and from Major Keyhoe).

[3.] If there were need to expand the above list further, I could list my correspondence files, including informative replies, from many scientists and officials, including members of the 1953 CIA panel, and many saucer researchers, including Adamski, Al Bender, Barker, Moseley, Dan Fry, Richard Ogden, Len Stringfield, Ruppelt, John Otto, LaPaz, Menzel, Whipple, Munsick, Keyhoe, Dick Hall, etc., etc. (I would like to list them all, but space does not allow it.) I could also point to my appearances on the Long John radio show, my authorization (by Congressional action) to publish the official Air Force Project Blue Book Special Report No. 14, etc.

[4.] Let me now say, in a nutshell, what I think is the true explanation of the flying saucer situation as it now stands, and as it has developed. In a nutshell, it is this:

The Central Intelligence Agency, formed in 1947, took over the public image of the "flying saucer" created by secret flights of U.S. aircraft and artificial meteor research, etc. during the 1947-48 period. By 1950 the CIA had set into motion a plan of encouraging public belief in interplanetary travel through a psychological technique of guiding the release of planted information, ordering "secret" tests of authentic military developments which gave misleading impressions to observers, etc. It delegated the Air Force to act as the official "investigator" to stave off public inquiry. It secretly sponsored the formation of saucer study groups and contact clubs, including NIEAP (under T. Townsend Brown, with whom, incidentally, I had voluminous correspondence.) The CIA set up many saucer publishers, sponsored the publicizing of Adamski's books and others, and sponsored the wave of saucer articles in 1952 in "Life" and "Look", etc. The CIA also conducted the hoaxes played upon Adamski and Fry, including Adamski's desert contacts, his "train disappearance", etc.

The motives of the CIA can best be described by Allen Dulles, who is just now about to retire from his position as leader of that Agency. He is quoted, in Stringfield's book, as saying "By use of the injunction, if necessary" he would prevent anyone from testifying in court concerning Adamski's book, "because maximum security exists concerning the subject of UFO's." The Anti-Atom-Bomb drive of 1957-58, which led to the U.S.-Russian-English ban on nuclear bomb testing (only recently broken) was the only worthwhile objective of the CIA-sponsored flying saucer movement which is yet publicly recognizable. The build-up for this is evident in the earliest saucer contact stories.

[5.] A more detailed historical development of the CIA's role in the saucer "story" follows.

In 1946, based on research done during World War II, and taking a lead from German rocket and satellite information, the U.S. Navy developed several interesting gadgets, including:

- (a) the Flying Flapjack, scheduled for test flight at Muroc in the summer of 1947, the very place and time of Cases 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the official Air Force saucer investigation (Project Grudge). This plane was designed by C.H. Zimmerman of the NACA, and Chance-Vought.
- (b) The "Flying Platform", also designed by Zimmerman, based on his patent 2,417,896, issued March 25, 1947 (applied for on Aug. 10, 1943). This was not publicized until 1955, when the Office of Naval Research made the cover of "Look" and/or "Colliers" with it.
- (c) Launching of artificial meteors from shaped charges carried aloft by V-2 rockets over New Mexico, starting in December 1946. (This led to the "green fireballs".)
- (d) The "Skyhook" balloon project, and other high-altitude research.

In 1947, the Air Force was embarrassed by sightings of "saucers" at Air Force bases, although Naval Air Bases were not bothered. The Air Force had "flying wings" YB-35, but they did not fly well, and the YB-49 jet version crashed at Muroc in June 1948. Muroc was renamed "Edwards AFB" in memory of the test pilot, Capt. Glen W. Edwards. The Navy "flying flapjack", the XF5U-1, did not have any publicly reported flights, yet it was not officially scrapped until March 12, 1948. The Navy had a large restricted area near Arco, Idaho, through the end of 1947, which is now the site of the AEC Reactor Testing Station. The area is near the center of activity of "saucers" during that period, and could have been "their" base of operations.

The CIA started operations (under Admiral Willenkoeter) on May 1, 1947. The earliest two dated cases in the official Air Force Project Grudge files are:

May 17 (or 21), 1947. Oklahoma City. "Round, disk-like, ten times longer than thick, high speed." (Case 82).

May 19, 1947. Manitou Springs, Colorado. "Reversal of direction of flight; manoeuvres." (Case 92).

In early 1949, just after "unification" of the Army, Navy, and Air Force started to take effect, an Air Force general ordered the Air Force to discontinue the investigation of "flying saucers" and to close Project Grudge by the end of 1949. This general's name and the full text of his letter is given in the secret Project Grudge report, No. 102 -AC 49/15-100, Project XS-304, Release Date August 1949, Classification: Secret, written by Lt. H.W. Smith and Mr. G.W. Towles of Air Materiel Command Headquarters at Wright Field.

In this letter, the general ordered the name of the project changed at once from Project SIGN to Project GRUDGE. The name Project SIGN had been given, under CIA auspices, to help spread the idea that saucers were inter-planetary bearers of messages of wisdom. This general, who was not in on the secret, but saw that the Navy was sending its "secret aircraft" to taunt the Air Force bases, used the name GRUDGE to connote the fierce inter-service rivalry which existed then.

The June, 1947, Maury Island (Tacoma, Wash.) sighting did not become widely known until after the Secret Grudge Report was released in 1949. (Released only to the military and AEC: it was not and is not yet available to the public.) The Maury Island incident was referred to by the RAND Corp. in its chapter of the Grudge Report. The Maury Island incident is fully described in the book by Arnold and Ray Palmer, and thus Ray Palmer is associated with the earliest stages of the flying saucer story.

During 1950 Allen Dulles became actively involved with the CIA work on saucers, and saw the psychological impact which they had. He started a plan to build them up as a psychological warfare weapon. Ruppelt's book clearly shows the steps the CIA took. Project Bluebook was warmed up in 1950-51, Ruppelt was selected by a screening process and groomed for the job of public relations cats-paw (without his knowledge), and a series of "incidents" were planned and carried out involving regular military units, which led to cases considered as authentic evidence of saucers. See my article in the March-April 1960 "Flying Saucer Review" of England. See also p.127 of Ruppelt's book (hard-cover first edition) on the Sept. 10, 1951, Ft. Monmouth radar sighting. By this time, there was no need to fly "real aircraft" any more; the "Flying Flapjack" and the skyhook balloons had done their job, the public had been inoculated, and since that time there has been no need to postulate the existence of "secret new aircraft" to explain the eyewitness reports.

The CIA scheduled a big upsurge of publicity for saucers in the Spring and Summer of 1952. The public kick-off was the Life article of April 1952, "Have We Visitors from Space?". This article had been under preparation for a year, with help from the government, according to Ruppelt. The "green lights" or artificial meteors used earlier in New Mexico were developed into a new technique, the "Blue Flash", and this was first tried over Seattle, Wash., in May 1952. (See front page of N.Y. Times for May 12, 1952.) It was then used for the outstanding "blue meteor" of Sept. 12, 1952, over Washington, D.C., and simultaneously over four adjacent states, including Maryland and West Virginia. This incident occurred simultaneously with the Flat Woods, W.Va., "monster" sighting, and in fact, the "meteor" was seen to land near the spot where the "monster" was discovered. This incident, described by Gray Barker in his book "They Knew Too Much About Flying Saucers", was investigated by Barker, personally, and publicized by him, and marks his early connection with the CIA's-sponsored program of hoodwinking the public.

-14-

By Fall of 1952, the CIA had laid out its plans for the "landing" and "contact" stories. The warmup for this had been the fabricated and planted stories about "little green men", such as the famous lecture at the University of Denver in March 1950, described in Scully's book "Behind the Flying Saucers", (page 5). This was a psychological test, and showed that about 50% of college-level people would believe a well-presented story. The Desvergers (Florida Scoutmaster) landing story in 1952, and the Mayor Linke landing story from Germany in the summer of 1952, were part of the same pattern. These were all planted by the CIA. No actual human contacts were yet reported.

The Tremonton films, made in the summer of 1952, served to convince me that saucers were real, and I suspect they were shown privately to others besides myself, whom the CIA wanted to convince of the reality of saucers. These films are a clever job of faking. The major sightings of the 1952 "flap" are well known to all saucer researchers. The Nash-Fortenberry sighting over Norfolk, Va., and the Coast Guard photos over Salem, Mass. received much publicity, but the newspaper clippings of that period show hundreds of cases. The editorial pages and editorial cartoons (even the Book Reviews) of the major newspapers bustled with the subject of flying saucers.

In January 1953 the CIA convened a panel of five top-notch scientists to "study the situation". The result was "pre-conditioned" by the CIA, according to Ruppelt's book and according to detailed letters from Ruppelt in my files. The upshot of this panel's report was to lend credence to the validity and "respectability" of saucers in official government circles (outside the CIA) although the report was kept secret from the public. Major Keyhoe, during this period, was carefully fed reports (by his "friends" in the government) to bolster his case that the saucers are interplanetary. His books were best sellers. Likewise, George Adamski, who had been duped into cooperation and participation in late 1949 (to take pictures of saucers "near the moon"), was hoaxed into going to a desert "contact" with a "spaceman" on Nov. 20, 1952. He was then given strong backing by the CIA (he calls them "space brothers") in writing and publishing his book "Flying Saucers Have Landed". During the next year he was given the "space flight" hoax, as described in my article in "Flying Saucer Review" (England) Jan. Feb. 1960 issue.

Jim Moseley introduced himself to me in 1954, and we became good friends. He was typical of the young, single, unattached men of mysterious antecedents who became active as saucer publishers during this period. The saucer magazines and saucer clubs spread the stories of the contactees, and publicized their books. Surprisingly enough, even Moseley, who purported to espouse the "Earth-theory", gave most publicity to the contactee side of the picture.

Dan Fry's experience, although dated back in 1950, was not actually published until 1954. The sequence of the "contact story" buildup seems to have been planned by the CIA as follows:

- (1) "Little Green Men" stories--1949-1950. No witnesses. (To "soften-up" the public and start discussion of "space visitors.")
- (2) Contact by voice radio. Dan Fry, 1950. Also radio contact by Laimon Streeter with Williamson's and McCoy's group in 1952.
- (3) Contact with a human being "from space". Adamski, desert, 1952.
- (4) Contact with English-speaking humans "from space", and flights into "space." Adamski, 1953, at Camp Irwin, California.
- (5) Visits to "other planets". Angelucci, Nelson. (1952, 1954).
- (6) Publicity for "midgets" contacting the general public, not restricting contacts to the "chosen few--contactees". 1955.
- (7) Publicity for full-size "human space people" contacting earth-people. (Howard Monger, the Planetary Center, the California

contact clubs, etc. (1956-1957)

- (8) Anti-Atom-Bomb propaganda disseminated by saucer clubs, all over the world. (1957) [Led to cessation of bomb tests in 1958.]
- (9) Current status of contactee-cults. Most of the "saucer club" activity these days is oriented to the belief that "space people" are here on earth, that they are identical to human beings, that either the human race originally came from other planets or the other planets were originally colonized from Earth, etc., etc. You pays your money and you takes your choice. But the fraction of "saucer research" activity that is concerned with technical subjects, such as propulsion, etc., is very small or almost nil, these days, and the whole subject "saucers" really now means "space people". (1958-1961).

The present situation is typified by the current "hysteria" in the saucer contact groups about the calamity to occur in February 1962. (Presumably the start of World War III.) One can imagine the CIA gradually building up a belief in the public of the imminence of such an occurrence. We see Michael X. calling for recruits to the saucer movement to "spread the word". Dr. Bernard has received funds to improve his publications on his "fallout-free" settlement in Brazil.

[6.] In some of the published stories, the "agents provocateurs" of the CIA seem to be clearly recognizable. These are contact cases in which one of the individuals who helps get things started, by establishing contact or supplying funds, fades away from the group and is not pinpointed in the glare of publicity by the time the "contactees" become well known. Among people who seem to be involved in roles of this type I have noted the following:

Walter Wiers, through whom Williamson was instructed of the details for setting up the Adamski desert contact. (p.4 of Saucer News, Aug.-Sept. 1957, published by Kim Moseley.)

Miss M., through whom Adamski's first contact with "Firkon" and "Ramu" was set up. (p.35, "Inside the Space Ships".)

Major Sander (p.77), M/S Elmer Taff (p.60), and the "man in black" (p. 34), in the Maury Island incident, as described by Ken Arnold in "The Coming of the Saucers" (to which these page numbers refer.)

The "angel" who keeps supplying modest funds to NICAP to keep it from going under, financially. Also, Mr. Nicolas de Rochefort, the U.S. Government psychological warfare expert who was one of the founders of NICAP in the T. Townsend Brown days.

Mr. "X", the electrical engineer from Califon, N.J., who helped Howard Menger set up his "demonstrations" in the early days of the Long John show in 1946, and whose real name, while not given over the air, is known to Richard Harpster, newsman of New Jersey.

"Mr. Redell", the engineer who first started Keyhoe believing in the Interplanetary nature of saucers. (pp. 107-115 of the Fawcett paperback first edition of "Flying Saucers are Real".) "Redell" also convinced Keyhoe of the "invisible saucer" case. (See my article "ECM + CIA = UFO" in the March-April 1960 issue of Flying Saucer Review (England).)

"Oskar Linke", the key figure in a June 1952 sighting from Germany

which tended to indicate that saucers were Russian secret devices. A man claiming to be "Oskar Linke" was tracked down in Berlin in 1959, and corresponded with me at length, giving some evidence that he knew the "Oskar Linke" story, but also giving evidence that he was not really a German. (This 1952 case, by the way, is better known as the "Hasselbach" sighting.)

And finally, on this list, for the moment, the key man in the "Visitor's Plan Promotion Committee" of 7333 Amboy Road, Garden City, Michigan.

[7] Although in 1952--1957, after seeing the Tremonton films in a private showing at the Pentagon (which I now realize was arranged by the CIA, acting through Col. W. A. Adams of Air Force Intelligence), I firmly believed that flying saucers were real objects, I no longer believe this. I had thought that these were "secret U.S. aircraft or missiles", and perhaps that is what the CIA was hoping that the intelligence agents of other powers would believe. But all during that period, my friends who worked in other Government agencies kept telling me that we really had no such devices. I nevertheless believed that we did, and that it was the best kept secret of all time.

Firm in that belief, I went through with the publication of thousands of copies of the Air Force Project Blue Book Special Report No. 14, in order to make the "inanity" of the Air Force's denials of saucer reality, clear to all intelligent researchers. In the act of publishing the Bluebook Report, I was unknowingly acting as one of the chief agents of the CIA, helping to spread the belief that saucers were American secret devices (as explained in my editorial remarks in the Bluebook publication.)

The dawn came slowly during 1947, as I looked into the John Otto-Mildred Maier-Major Walker-CIA case, of the "code signals" recorded during a "saucer communication experiment". When Jules St. Germaine, a Long John show affiliate, had these decoded into a teletype cipher message, and when Major Voya Skakich of the U.S. Air Force came to interrogate me about my interest in these, I began to see the light. My articles in various issues of Moseley's "Saucer News" during 1947 and 1948 showed the transition in my thinking, and the idea of psychological warfare, being played by the CIA (against whom?), seemed more and more to be the real explanation of the whole saucer riddle. It even became apparent that many saucer magazines were not being written to circulate to their (purported) ordinary "Saucer fan" readership, but were really being written and circulated mainly to convey the "saucer activity news" to those on the inside of the research, some of whom could be expected to be enemy agents who were supposed to be believing and extracting the "American secret device" hokum from the stories.

The man I feel sorriest for in all this is Major Keyhoe. He has been ill-used by the CIA, and is still being fed stories to relay to the public. In "Flying Saucers--Top Secret", pages 18-20, he thinks he is telling a story of actual interplanetary saucer sighting, when it is obvious that the case he describes is a "dress rehearsal" of a psychological warfare gimmick which "simulates" a flying saucer, (to "scare" crews of enemy planes(?)). The way in which (on p.19 and 20) the crewmen were interviewed and made to write reports on what they thought they saw, and also shown photographs to match what they had seen, sounds just like what the CIA would do in a "field test" of a new psych-war gimmick. It is quite similar to the test made in 1950 on college people, as described on pp. 5-6 of Scully's saucer book.

I would be glad to correspond with anyone who wants additional copies of this letter, or who wants detailed references (not discussion) on any points in this letter. To all who have formerly corresponded with me, I say "Hello", and apologize for inability to keep up as I'd like with personal letters. "Dr. D."/